Tetrahedron Letters, Vol.27, No.3, pp 377-380, 1986 0040-4039/86 \$3.00 + .00 Printed in Great Britain ©1986 Pergamon Press Ltd.

> Ruthenium Complex Catalyzed N-Heterocyclization. Indoles from Aminoarenes and Glycols.

Yasushi TSUJI, Keun-Tae HUH, and Yoshihisa WATANABE*

Department of Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606, Japan

Summary: N-Methylaniline reacted with ethylene glycol in the presence of a homogeneous ruthenium catalyst (1 mol% based on ethylene glycol) to give 1-Methylindole in 51% yield. Similarly, aniline reacted with 2,3-butanediol affording 2,3-dimethylindole in 58% yield. The yields of indoles were affected by the molar ratio of aminoarene to glycol.

Indole derivatives have long been prepared by the well-known Fischer indole synthesis¹⁾, using a phenylhydrazine and an aldehyde or a ketone. However, the most simple way to build up a indole ring might be an use of C_2 -fragment and aminoarene. Recently, such synthetic methods have been attempted, mostly from an industrial point of view. In these reactions, acetaldehyde²⁾, ethylene oxide³⁾, and ethylene glycol⁴⁾ were used as the C_2 -fragment with aminoarenes. However, all these reactions were carried out over heterogeneous catalyst under very severe reaction conditions, at 300-700°C, and in some cases yields were not so satisfactory.

This communication deals with the first example of a homogeneously catalyzed N-heterocyclization to indole derivatives using aminoarenes (1) and glycols (2) (eq. 1).

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$$

The general experimental procedure is as follows. Into a glass liner set in a 50 ml stainless steal reactor were charged aminoarene (50 mmol), glycol (20 mmol), $\operatorname{RuCl}_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_3$ (0.2 mmol), and a solvent (5.0 ml) under argon stream. The reactor was heated to 180° C and thermostated at this temperature for 5 h with stirring.

run	aminoarene	glycol	product	yield(%) ^{b)}
1	∧ ∧ ∧	но~_он	CH ₃	51
2 ^{c)}	⊘-NH CH ₃	но~~он	CH3	39
3 ^d)		Н0~~ОН	CH ₃	45
4	✓ NH CH ₂ CH ₃	нолон	CH ₂ CH ₃	34
₅ e)	NH ₂	нотон	CTN CH ₃ H	46
6 ^{e)}	∧	ноон	CH ₃ CH ₃	(58) ^{f)}

Table 1. Synthesis of Indole derivatives from Aminoarene and Glycol using $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$.^{a)}

a) Glycol (20 mmol), aminoarene (50 mmol), RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (0.2 mmol), and dioxane (5.0 ml); at 180^oC for 5h.
b) Based on glycol by GLC.
c) Using 1-hexene (5.0 ml) instead of dioxane.
d) Using 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (5.0 ml) instead of dioxane.
e) Reaction time 20 h.
f) Isolated yield.

Representative results are listed in Table 1. N-Methylaniline reacted with ethylene glycol in dioxane in the presence of a catalytic amount of a ruthenium complex (1 mol% based on ethylene glycol) to give 1-methylindole in 51% yield (run 1). The yield of 1-methylindole was affected by a molar ratio of the aminoarene to ethylene glycol. The highest yield was realized at the molar ratio of 2.5(run 1); the yield of 1-methylindole was 39% at the molar ratio of 3.0, 42% at 2.0, and 16% at 1.0. The reaction proceeded similarly in other solvents such as 1-hexene (run 2)⁵⁾, 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (run 3), 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, and DMF. N-Ethylaniline also reacted with ethylene glycol to give 1-ethylindole (run 4). 2,3-Butanediol could be used as a substituted C_2 -fragment, and reacted with aniline or N-methylaniline to give the corresponding indoles in good yields (runs 5 and 6).

It is noteworthy that the present N-heterocyclization does not require

any hydrogen acceptors. During the reaction, hydrogen was evolved into gas phase. This feature is extremely important and convenient as a synthetic method.

Indole itself was obtained in only trace yield from aniline and ethylene glycol. Instead, 1,4-diphenylpiperazine was isolated in 73% yield by column chromatography (alumina-hexane) (eq. $2)^{6}$. Similar reaction between benzylamine and ethylene glycol also gave 1,4-dibenzylpiperazine in 78% isolated yield (eq. $3)^{6}$).

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

$$(2)$$

The first step in the present N-heterocyclization appeares to be formation of 2-anilinoethanol derivatives $(4)^{7}$ (step **a** in Scheme). Similar C-N bond formations using amine and alcohol functionalities catalyzed by the ruthenium complex have been reported recently^{8,9}. In order to reveal the reaction pathway, 2-(N-methyl-N-phenylamino)ethanol (4: R_1 =CH₃, R_2 = R_3 =H) was allowed to react in the presence of $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$. However, from this aminoethanol, 1-methylindole was not substantially obtained in 1-hexene or 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (eq. 4). This result indicates that the

$$\underbrace{ \bigvee_{CH_{3}}^{N} OH}_{CH_{3}} \underbrace{ \frac{RuCl_{2}(PPh_{3})_{3}(1 \text{ mmol}\%)}{180^{\circ}C, 5h, -H_{2}, -H_{2}0} \underbrace{ \bigvee_{CH_{3}}^{N} (4)}_{5\%}$$

indoles are not formed directly from 4 by the intramolecular cyclization (path d). On the other hand, 2-(N-methyl-N-phenylamino)ethanol reacted with N-methylaniline in the presence of $RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3$ to give 1-methylindole in 47% yield in 1-hexene and in 39% yield in 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (eq. 5). This result suggests that an ethylenediamine type compound (5) is a key



intermediate for the cyclization to the indole (3)(path c). In the present Nheterocyclization, yields of the indoles were good with excess of aminoarenes (vide supra). Such excess aminoarene would favor the formation of the intermediate 5 from 4. The piperazine derivatives could be derived via bimolecular cyclization of 4 (path e), when primary amines reacted with ethylene glycol (eqs. 2 and 3).

Further studies on the reaction mechanism and optimization of the reaction are now in $progress^{10}$.

The present work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 60119001 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.



[References and Notes]

- 1) Robinson, B. Chem. Rev. 1963, 63, 373. ibid. 1969, 69, 227.
- 2) Japan Kokai 72-33355; Chem. Abst. 1972, 77, 164472.
- 3) Japan Kokai 81-61353; Chem. Abst. 1981, 95, 115293.
- 4) U.S. Pat. 1984, 4436917; Chem. Abst. 1984, 101, 7024. Japan Kokai 83-32863; Chem. Abst. 1983, 99, 55378. Japan Kokai 81-63958; Chem. Abst. 1981, 95, 150441.
- 5) 1-Hexene was partly hydrogenated to hexane, which reduced the evolved hydrogen considerably.
- 6) A mixture of the amine (20 mmol), ethylene glycol (20 mmol), and dioxane 5.0 ml) was stirred at 180° C for 5 h in the presence of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ (0.20 mmol) or RuCl₃·nH₂O (0.20 mmol)-PBu₃ (0.60 mmol).
- 7) In the reaction of N-methylaniline and ethylene glycol, 2-(N-methyl-N-phenylamino)ethanol (4; R_1 =CH₃, R_2 =R₃=H) was isolated from the reaction mixture.
- Watanabe, Y.; Tsuji, Y.; Ige, H.; Ohsugi, Y.; Ohta, T. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3359.
- 9) Tsuji, Y.; Huh, K.-T.; Ohsugi, Y.; Watanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1365.
- 10) Under similar reaction conditions, rhodium complexes (RhCl(PPh₃)₃, RhH(PPh₃)₃) showed only low catalytic activities; yields of 1-methylindole were 4-5% with low conversions of the substrates.

(Received in Japan 2 November 1985)

380